## In The United States District Court For The District Of Delaware



Shane K. Hopkins,

Plaintiff,

V.

CA NO. 05-870-SLR

C/O John Pusey, Etal.

Defendant,

Comes Now The Plaintiff Shane K. Hopkins Response To The Defendants Reply In Support Of Their Motion For Summary Judgment.

- In Response To The Defenses Complaint Concerning The Plaintiffs Untimely Answer
  To Their Motion For Summary Judgment The Plaintiff Would Like To Offer The
  Following Explanations.
  - A) The Plaintiff Was Unaware That There Are Local Rules That Affected The Amount Of Time That The Plaintiff Had To Respond To Their Motion.
  - B) The Plaintiff Is Confronted With Inherent Difficulties Gaining Access To The Law Library. There Is A Process That Takes An Average Of (7) Days To Obtain An Appointment.
  - C) The Plaintiff Has Never Used A Computer Before Now, And Has Never Typed A Document Of Any Kind.
- 2) With Regard To The Plaintiffs Fourth Amendment Claim Against C/O John Pusey And C/O Joe Smith. The Plaintiff Does Not Fully Understand The Context In Which The Law Is Applied. Therefore The Plaintiff Would Like To Stand By His Previous Pleadings And Rely On The Courts Determinations As To The Applicability Of The Plaintiffs Fourth Amendment Claims.
- 3) With Regard To The Plaintiffs Fifth Amendment Claim Against C/O John Pusey And C/O Joe Smith. The Plaintiff Does Not Fully Understand How The Violation Of Due Process Law Should Be Applied. The Defendants Are Responsible For Filing

¢!

- 4) With Regard To The Exhaustion Of Administrative Remedies. The Plaintiff Would Like To Stand By His Previous Pleadings. Every Attempt Was Made To Address The Issue Administratively. The Issues Were Deemed To Be "Non-Grievable" And The Deputy Warden Determined That The Matter Was "Closed".
- 5) Your Honor The Plaintiff Feels That That The Defense Filed This Motion For Summary Judgment In An Attempt To Preempt The Courts Decisions Concerning Motions That The Plaintiff Has Previously Filed. There Are Several Motions Currently Before This Court. There Is A Motion Requesting Permission To Amend The Complaint. There Is A Motion Requesting Permission To Depose The Defendants And Several Non-Named Witnesses. And There Is A Motion Requesting That The Court Issue Subpoenas Upon Several Non-Named Witnesses.
- 6) Therefore The Plaintiff Prays That This Court Will Find That The Plaintiff Has Made A Sufficient Showing Of Cause That Would Preclude The Defendants From Obtaining Summary Judgment At This Time.

Dated November 20, 2007

Shane K. Hopkins # 253918

D.C.C.

1181 Paddock Rd.

Smyrna Delaware,

19977

## Certificate of Service

1. ShANE HOPKINS	,hereby certify that I have served a true
And correct cop(ies) of the attached: RESpo	• /
parties/person (s):	upon the following
TO: STACEY XAPHONIAKOS  DEDUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL  STREET GINFLOR  Wilmington DELANAPE  1970 (	TO:
TO:	TO:
BY PLACING SAME IN A SEALED ENVELOPE States Mail at the Delaware Correctional Cent	
On this 25th day of NOVEMBER	,200_7
Shae	e Hyphil

Case 1:05-cv-00870-SLR Document 105 Filed 11/28/2007 Page 4 of 4

SBI# 2539\8 UNIT C

SMYRNA, DELAWARE CORRECTIONAL CENTER

MARK 19977

SMYRNA, DELAWARE 19977